

**CITRUS
HEIGHTS
WATER
DISTRICT**

6230 Sylvan Road
P.O. Box 286
Citrus Heights
California
95611-0286

phone
916/ 725-6873

fax
916/ 725-0345

website
www.chwd.org

Board of Directors

Allen B. Dains
Caryl F. Sheehan
Raymond A. Riehle

*General Manager/
Secretary*

Robert A. Churchill

*Assistant General
Manager/Treasurer*
Hilary M. Straus

*Accounting Supervisor
Assessor/Collector*
Susan K. Sohal

June 2, 2015

Mr. Edward J. Costa, President
Board of Directors
San Juan Water District
9935 Auburn-Folsom Road
Granite Bay, CA 95746

Mr. Neil W. Schild, President
Board of Directors
Sacramento Suburban Water District
3701 Marconi Avenue #100
Sacramento, 95821

Re: Public Review Draft Report – Phase 2A Study: Analysis of
Reorganizing San Juan Water District and Sacramento Suburban
Water District, March 26, 2015

Dear President Costa and President Schild:

Thank you for the opportunity for Citrus Heights Water District (“CHWD”) to provide comments to San Juan Water District’s (“SJWD”) and Sacramento Suburban Water District’s (“SSWD”) Boards of Directors regarding the “Public Review Draft Report – Phase 2A Study: Analysis of Reorganizing San Juan Water District and Sacramento Suburban Water District, March 26, 2015”, (Draft Phase 2A Study). In addition to the comments in this letter, nine bound copies of the Draft Phase 2A Study of with additional CHWD comments and edits are included for your Board Members, staff and consultants. For purposes of clarification in this letter, “SJWD” means both SJWD-Wholesale (“SJWD-W”) and SJWD-Retail (“SJWD-R”).

As you are aware, the history of CHWD and that of SJWD are very much interrelated. Orange Vale Water Company (“OVWC”), Fair Oaks Water District (“FOWD”) and our agency served as keystones leading to the formation of SJWD in 1954. Indeed, the Citrus Heights community’s use of water from the American River predates the 1920 formation of CHWD.

We look forward to working closely with the entire SJWD and SSWD Boards of Directors and assigned staff to answer any questions regarding our comments and edits and to address our comments and concerns and to implement our suggested resolutions.

Key to CHWD’s support of the proposed reorganization center around the District’s agreement for surface water supplies, a governance or representation plan of proposed successor agency’s Board of Directors and recognition of the prior investments in SJWD-W’s water treatment, water storage and water delivery infrastructure.

**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 2**

It is CHWD's request that the Districts' detailed comments, questions and concerns provided below be responded to in writing and/or included/addressed in the Final Phase 2A Study (with written intention to include in the Final Phase 2B Study). Our comments are organized into the following subject areas:

Phase 2A Study Content – General
SJWD Wholesale Service Area Boundary
Water Supplies
Water Supply Agreements
Other Agreements
Operations
Facilities
Finances
Water Rates
Board of Directors / Governance
Organizational Structures
JPA Option
Closing

DRAFT PHASE 2A STUDY CONTENT - GENERAL

In reviewing this document, CHWD notes many areas to improve the Draft Phase 2A Study for readability and understanding by the general public. Many of these areas are contained in the comments and edits provided as noted above. CHWD recommends that an independent editorial and technical review be performed prior the issuance of the Final Phase 2A Study due to its potential for impact to such a large population.

Not on the table during these discussions has been the concept of SJWD-W as an independent "wholesale only" organization with its own Board of Directors, relinquishing its retail water service responsibilities to other providers of retail water service, forming an independent retail service area or a combination of both. Such a concept would provide assured demarcation between the finances, assets and operations between the current wholesale and retail businesses of SJWD. As this and other studies take place to determine if reorganization of SJWD and SSWD should occur, it is of utmost importance to CHWD that SJWD-W's business plan, including but not limited to, finances, assets, and operations, be clearly stated and differentiated from that of SJWD-R.



Areas throughout the Draft Phase 2A Study contain many subjective statements rather than definitive statements regarding potential outcomes or advantages of the reorganization. Statement containing the words "may", "might", "could", "potential" or "we believe that" do

**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 3**

not lend them to providing a high level of confidence to CHWD that the projected outcomes are expected, realistic or attainable.

The Draft Phase 2A Study, Chapter 2, Page 19, Items 5 through 9, at the top of the page referencing water service delivery, customer service, human resources policies, salaries and benefits, meshing of finance, accounting, information technology, combined district budget/total cost of operation and short/long term debt in the “paper” new merged district, are not addressed. These are important items worthy of detailed analysis and discussion.

The Draft Phase 2A Study, Chapter 1, Page 19, Items 1 through 5, in the upper middle of the page referencing impacts to wholesale and retail water rates, water supply availability, water quality, impact on existing capital improvement plans, existing water rights for existing customers, and drought operations have not been addressed. These are important issues raised by different stakeholders through the outreach process and require analysis.

The Draft Phase 2A Study, Chapter 9, “Lessons Learned from Arcade/Northridge Consolidation” consistently refers to a lack of detail. How will these lessons learned be incorporated into the reorganization process going forward? This Chapter concludes with the identification of a need for an evaluation process whereby operational parameters are prioritized and thoroughly analyzed in a timely manner. Will the Phase 2B Study provide a guide and suggested timeline for such an evaluation? What are the areas, unlike the AWD/NWD effort, that should be fully assessed and analyzed prior to reorganization?

Consider incorporating the mission statements of San Juan Water District and Sacramento Suburban Water District immediately prior to the Table of Contents.

References to SJCSO are confusing, especially inasmuch as SSWD is not referred to as SSCWD. What is the purpose or intent of said reference?

For consistency throughout the report please standardize terms or language such as: Folsom Reservoir or Folsom Lake; groundwater or ground water; State or state; merger or reorganization.

For the lay reader, some of the quantity or rate-of-flow based terms or acronyms used in the Draft Phase 2A Study are not easy to comprehend. Please consider the inclusion of additional references that would be more relevant to a typical customer.



SJWD WHOLESALE SERVICE AREA BOUNDARY

Reference is made in the Draft Phase 2A Study that the service area/boundary of San Juan Water District is coincidental with that of the Wholesale Customer Agencies. CHWD is of the opinion that a westerly portion of the CHWD service area, approximately 950 connections in Sections 22 and 27 of Township 10 North, Range 6 East, MDB & M, is outside of SJWD, both physically and electorally. Inasmuch as a legal description of the service boundaries of both SJWD and SSWD are likely to be required when applying to LAFCo, now would be an opportune time to research the boundary lines of SJWD at formation and other annexations or detachments to date thereto.

This comment may also apply to the Place of Use ("POU") of SJWD's Central Valley Project ("CVP") water supply contract with the United States Bureau of Reclamation ("USBR"). CHWD understands that SJWD's CVP POU is not predicated on legal description but rather by a map that accompanies the contract. Said map needs to be attached as an Appendix to the Final Phase 2A Study to provide a definitive boundary of SJWD's CVP POU. The original CVP contract, along with its revisions and amendments, should also be attached as an Appendix.

The aforementioned SJWD boundary research should also evaluate the status of the North Ridge Country Club property located in the southeast quadrant of Madison and San Juan Avenues. While said property is not annexed to CHWD or FOWD, it may be within the SJWD boundary.

WATER SUPPLIES

In commenting on State and federal oversight and interest in local water management, the Study asserts on page 7, Item 4, that "The greatest risks to local water supply reliability are external to local purveyors. Actions by State and federal agencies, beyond the control of local agencies, create challenges best met with increased flexibility in water supply options."

CHWD disagrees with this statement, especially in light of the current mandates handed down by the State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB"). The greatest risk is prolonged drought which has and may warrant in the future additional State and federal restrictions in spite of flexible water supply options being already in place. The second greatest risk is potential contamination of the groundwater basin, which significantly impacts the flexibility in water supply options.



**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 5**

San Juan Water District - Wholesale:

See comment above regarding inclusion of a map more detailed than the one provided on page 66 as an Appendix to the Final Phase 2A Study delineating SJWD-W's POU of CVP water.

More discussion and analysis is needed in the Final Draft 2A Report regarding how recent drought-related actions by the SWRCB impact, negatively or positively, SJWD's ability to fully utilize the surface water supplies for which it has rights or contracts. If the long-term ramifications of the SWRCB's requirements are to manage customer water use to a Gallons Per Capita per Day ("GPCD") number, how might that impact the ability to fully utilize the diverse surface water and groundwater supply investments of SJWD, the Wholesale Customer Agencies and SSWD.

Sacramento Suburban Water District:

Attach maps more detailed than the one provided on page 66 as Appendices to the Final Phase 2A Study delineating SSWD's POU of its Area D and Placer County Water Agency ("PCWA") water supplies.

SSWD's 2010 LAFCo Municipal Services Review states that it "has existing capacity to implement conjunctive use water supply solutions for neighboring water purveyors" but "does not have excess water to provide for other agencies on a continuous basis." Are these statements still valid and if so, they are in conflict with statements in the Study (page 5)?

SSWD has groundwater supply wells that are either offline due to contamination or could be threatened by contamination. This affects the supply, reliability and ultimately the price of water from these sources. Will remediation and return to service be made a higher priority for the reorganized agency since more customers will be relying on that water, or a lower priority since each reorganized District service area could use water from another area to circumvent the problem? In either case, groundwater contamination or the threat thereof or associated treatment technology costs have to be identified as liabilities, not just for SSWD but throughout the region. More discussion than what is currently provided on page 84 in the Draft Phase 2A Study is needed in the Final Phase 2A Report.

WATER SUPPLY AGREEMENTS

CHWD requires the means to ensure, the guarantee of, the quantity and quality of surface water from SJWD-W and that it will be available for use by the District.

CHWD greatly appreciates the inclusion of the "Wholesale Customer Agencies Principles" in the Draft Phase 2A Study (see pp. 38 and 39) as these principles reflect the heart of CHWD's concerns. These principles must be embodied with appropriate and valid assurance

**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 6**

mechanisms in a modified Water Supply Agreement (“WSA”) between CHWD and SJWD prior to finalization of the Phase 2A Study. We recommend that a process to address this item be made a priority.

The modified WSA must include:

- Confirmation of the SJWD-W’s pre-1914 water rights priority to CHWD’s customers over any new customers in an expanded service area.
- Acknowledgement and confirmation of CHWD’s reliance on existing reliability of SJWD-W surface deliveries as has been articulated in various SJWD planning documents and as has resulted in CHWD’s past and planned investments in managing, with groundwater, SJWD-W surface delivery shortfalls.
- The basis for determining CHWD’s current and future charges for the purchase of surface water from SJWD-W.
- Mechanisms for decision-making and dispute resolution between and among SJWD-W and the Wholesale Customer Agencies.

Securing a modified WSA incorporating these assurances will be paramount to CHWD’s ability to support SJWD’s and SSWD’s continued reorganization investigation process and path to combine the two agencies.

OTHER AGREEMENTS

Identification is needed of any and all agreements, including but not limited to those pertaining to the Cooperative Transmission Pipeline and the PCWA Water Treatment Agreement by and between SJWD and SSWD. These public documents need to be included as Appendices to the Final Phase 2A Study, which needs to include discussion and analysis of how these Agreements come into play with the annexation of SSWD into SJWD.

Identification needed of any and all SSWD agreements to which SJWD, by virtue of becoming successor to SSWD, would become a party. These public documents need to be included as Appendices to the Final Phase 2A Study, which needs to include discussion and analysis of how these agreements would specifically pertain to a reorganized SJWD wholesale business and/or expanded SJWD retail business.

OPERATIONS

The Draft Phase 2A Study fails to provide any representation of existing and projected reliability for SJWD-W and its Wholesale Customer Agencies under a “no-reorganization”

**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 7**

condition, such that a key claimed benefit of the reorganization, providing improved reliability, can be demonstrated. The Final Phase 2A Study needs to detail and describe: 1) plausible water delivery operations, 2) plausible scenarios to depict the sharing of water supplies between SJWD and SSWD, 3) the anticipated frequency and magnitude of surface water deliveries to SSWD using pre-1914 water rights proposed, 4) the anticipated frequency of SSWD's reverting to their groundwater supplies, and 5) the frequency and magnitude of returned groundwater pumping to SJWD.

FACILITIES

San Juan Water District - Wholesale:

SJWD has assets, including but not limited to, water treatment, water storage, pump station, power generation, water transmission and distribution, fleet and real property assets, that can be categorized as pertinent to its business of providing wholesale water service, to its business of providing retail water service, and in some instances both. CHWD requests documentation showing: 1) the separate detailed asset accounting for SJWD-W and SJWD-R showing how each functional division of SJWD currently values these assets, and 2) the identification of which of these assets would become part of a reorganized SJWD-W assets to serve a larger retail service area upon annexation of SSWD to SJWD.

CHWD requests documentation regarding the financing of the Peterson Water Treatment Plant and related storage and transmission infrastructure, including cost, financing method(s) and sources of funds.

The final sentence on page 33 of the Draft Phase 2A Study needs to identify how many miles of transmission pipelines are parts of the SJWD-W system and how many miles of pipelines are parts of the SJWD-R system.

Sacramento Suburban Water District:

SSWD has assets, including but not limited to, water production, water storage, pump station, water transmission and distribution, fleet and real property assets, which heretofore have been categorized as pertinent to its business of providing retail water service. Similar to the information provided in SSWD's Municipal Services Review prepared for Sacramento LAFCo, a detailed accounting must be provided, showing the current value of these assets. Under a reorganized agency, what assets of SSWD are proposed to become part of reorganized SJWD wholesale assets?



**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 8**

The last paragraph on page 50 refers both to SSWD's acquisition of "ownership rights" and "capacity interest" in a portion of the City of Sacramento's 54-inch transmission main. Are these terms meant to be used interchangeably?

FINANCES

CHWD recommends that the Phase 2B Study be structured and financed to include an independent financial consultant to evaluate the proposed reorganization's impact on operating and capital budgets and rates for both wholesale and retail customers with or without reorganization. The Draft Phase 2A Study presents inadequate financial information to substantiate a claim for reduced costs through economies and efficiencies (page 92, paragraph 3).

The Final Phase 2A Study must include an analysis of "buy-in" to existing water supply infrastructure to address historic financial commitments and expenditures by customers within both SSWD and the SJWD wholesale customer agencies.

San Juan Water District Wholesale Connection Fees:

SJWD-W collects wholesale connection fees from "all agencies that purchase water from San Juan Water District on behalf of the San Juan wholesale agency". These fees apply to all new connections within SJWD-W service area in CHWD, FOWD, OVWC, SJWD-R and Folsom except for new connections for non-enterprise public entities.

"The wholesale connection fee ensures that new customers pay their proportionate share to "buy in" to water system facilities. Wholesale capital facilities fees were previously financed through General Obligation bonds and reimbursements were formerly collected with taxes, however those bonds are now paid in full and this new funding mechanism has been established" (see Exhibit B for SJWD's Wholesale Connection Fee Transmittal Form).

The reorganization of SJWD to include SSWD means that there are 46,112 new connections to the San Juan wholesale agency that need to "buy in" to SJWD's water system facilities if these new connections are to have the same rights and access to said wholesale facilities as the existing Wholesale Customer Agencies. SSWD is similar in nature to CHWD as an essentially built-out residential community. The percentage mix of water service sizes across each agency's customer base is likely to be similar. Using this assumption, CHWD estimates that the wholesale connection fees due SJWD-W by SSWD to be between \$26.5 and \$32.6 million dollars (see Exhibit A for the calculation methodology). An exact calculation will need to be performed based upon SSWD's actual quantities of various water service sizes.



**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 9**

If the aforementioned new SSWD connections to the San Juan wholesale agency are to have different rights and access to said wholesale facilities as the existing Wholesale Customer Agencies, the Phase 2A Study needs articulate same and further financial consideration given to the value of the wholesale facilities benefitting the new SSWD connections.

SJWD Property Tax Revenues:

As noted on pages 59 and 60 of the Draft Phase 2A Study, SJWD as a Community Services District (“CSD”) receives a share of property tax in both Sacramento and Placer Counties from properties in its wholesale service area. By policy attributable to the sources of the property tax revenues, CHWD understands that SJWD currently allocates approximately 50% of these funds for SJWD-R projects and approximately 50% of these funds for SJWD-W projects. Discussion in the Final Phase 2A Study needs to address whether or not any property tax revenues from customers in SSWD would be forthcoming to the reorganized District. Assuming not, a clear statement must be made that the property tax revenues received by SJWD will be used only for the direct benefit of customers in SJWD’s current wholesale service area.

WATER RATES



Wholesale Water Rates:

With its wholesale customer base increasing by 48% from 50,202 connections to 96,314 connections for a reorganized SJWD-W business, the Final Phase 2A Study must address the cost impacts (reduce, status quo, increase) to administration and operation of the SJWD water treatment, storage and transmission system that treats and delivers to CHWD.

The Final Phase 2A Study needs to restate that cost of service principles for determining wholesale water rates will continue to be applied separately to all of the agencies receiving water from SJWD-W.

Retail Water Rates: As a wholesale customer agency of SJWD-W, water rates for a reorganized SJWD-R service area are not germane to CHWD’s comments.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS / GOVERNANCE

Should reorganization occur, CHWD fully and strongly supports the concept of a nine (9) member Board of Directors, qualifying and elected by divisions from communities of interest in a reorganized SJWD. This will provide a balanced representation on the reorganized SJWD Board of Directors from wholesale and retail constituents on SJWD. CHWD commits  support a legislative resolution in this r  rd.



**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 10**

The nine (9)-member proposed successor agency's Board of Directors is one of the key components of the process for CHWD's receptivity to the proposed reorganization. Provide details and documentation of the efforts undertaken thus far to lay the groundwork for legislative action in this regard, as well as the plan and schedule for going forward. Are there recent legislative actions of a similar nature that have met with success or failure? Lacking any clear direction and likelihood for success in such an endeavor, CHWD would be hard-pressed to support the election of a smaller "at large" Board of Directors for the reorganized agency.

The population information provided at the top of page 68 of the Draft Phase 2A Study needs refinement as it does not include portions of the Carmichael community served by CHWD and SSWD. Furthermore, the population value stated for Citrus Heights is the population for portions of the five communities served by CHWD and not inclusive of the portion of Citrus Heights served by SSWD. CHWD's estimated populations for communities that it serves are as follows:

Citrus Heights	52,768
Orangevale	7,100
Fair Oaks	5,075
Carmichael	1,140
Placer County (unincorporated)	850
Roseville	<u>400</u>
Total	67,333

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURES

The current organizational chart for SJWD provided on pages 41 and 70 of the Draft Phase 2A Study depicts the organization as a whole; combining wholesale and retail functions. The Final Phase 2A Study should include an additional version of this organizational chart or denoting assignment of full time equivalent ("FTE") personnel to SJWD-W in all of the functional areas.

Similarly, both the transitional organizational chart provided on page 72 and the reorganized district organizational chart provided on page 73 of the Draft Phase 2A Study depict the transitional and reorganized organization as a whole; combining wholesale and retail functions. Again, the Final Phase 2A Study should include additional versions of these organizational charts to denote assignment of FTE personnel to a new SJWD-W in all of the functional areas. The Final Phase 2A Study should address how the number of positions for the new SJWD-W business differs, if at all, from the present.



IPA OPTION

Another mechanism for achieving the benefits of consolidation, the creation of a joint powers agency or authority ("JPA"), needs to be examined. A joint powers agreement is a contract between two or more public agencies to exercise, jointly, all power(s) common to each of them, for the purpose of accomplishing specific goals they may have in common. The California Government Code sections 6500-6537 provide the authority for public agencies to enter into JPAs. They may be formed between local entities for reasons such as, but not limited to, acquisition of land, construction, maintenance, and operation of facilities by e.g., regional water districts, energy agencies, cities, counties or any other entity described in Government Code section 6500. JPAs can also be used by agencies for the purposes of determining fiscal agents and sharing regional responsibilities.

A JPA would provide the framework for all the board members of the SJWD member agencies to be represented. An eleven or possibly fourteen member JPA board is not an unreasonable size to address pressing issues under consideration for over 330, 000 people and the economy of the region.

A JPA would enable sharing of resources and combining services so that the member agencies--and their customers--save time and money. The JPA would conduct the thorough vetting of the benefits and pitfalls of consolidation of any of the member agencies, while developing the vision and preliminary components of the strategic plan and form the body for the successor agency. Once these elements are achieved, the fulfillment of the LAFCo elements of consideration would be addressed as a nominal course of effort.

CLOSING

In conclusion, the Phase 2A Study cannot be finalized until, SJWD-W and CHWD (or all its wholesale customers) enter into a modified WSA, addressing water rights priority, water supply, water rates, decision-making, and dispute resolution.

In addition, the Final Phase 2A Study must include the following: (presented in the order addressed in this letter):

1. Map of SJWD's CVP POU.
2. Analysis of impact on SJWD-W of recent drought-related actions by the SWRCB.
3. Analysis regarding groundwater contamination and associated treatment technology costs.
4. Agreements: Cooperative Transmission Pipeline, the PCWA Water Treatment Agreement between SJWD and SSWD, and all SSWD agreements to which SJWD, by virtue of becoming successor to SSWD, would become a party.

**Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 12**

5. All agreements entered into by SJWD-W with its wholesale customers.
6. All other agreements entered into by SSWD that may have an effect on SJWD-R, as well as SJWD-W and its wholesale customers.
7. Analysis of the cost impacts to administration and operation of the SJWD Water Treatment Plant and transmission system.
8. Analysis of how the cost of service principles for determining wholesale water rates will continue to be applied separately to all of the agencies receiving water from SJWD-W.
9. Separate detailed accounting for SJWD-W and SJWD-R showing how each functional division of SJWD currently values these assets, and the identification of which of these assets would become part of reorganized SJWD-W assets to serve a larger retail service area.
10. Documentation regarding the financing of the Peterson Water Treatment Plant and related storage and transmission infrastructure, including cost, financing method(s) and sources of funds.
11. Identification of the number of miles of transmission pipelines that are part of the SJWD-W system and the number of miles of pipelines that are part of the SJWD-R system.
12. A detailed accounting of the current value of SSWD's assets and identification of assets proposed to become part of SJWD's wholesale assets?
13. Analysis of "buy-in" to existing water supply infrastructure to address historic financial commitments and expenditures by customers within both SSWD and the SJWD wholesale customer agencies.
14. Regarding property tax revenues from customers in SSWD: a clear statement is needed that the property tax revenues received by SJWD will be used only for the direct benefit of customers in SJWD's current wholesale service area.
15. Population information: needs refinement.
16. The 9-member reorganized SJWD Board of Directors: need details and documentation of the legislative efforts and schedule for going forward.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Draft 2A Study and look forward to addressing our concerns so we can cooperatively achieve our desired assurances and outcomes.

Securing these assurances in a modified water supply agreement, balanced representation on a new Board of Directors and infrastructure equity will be paramount to CHWD's ability to support SJWD's and SSWD's continued reorganization investigation process and intended path to combine the two agencies.

Please feel free to contact General Manager Robert A. Churchill at (916) 725-6873 or rchurch@chwd.org with any questions that you might have.

Letter to Board of Directors of the
San Juan and Sacramento Suburban Water Districts
Comments on Phase 2A Study
June 2, 2015
Page 13

Sincerely,



Allen B. Dains
President



Caryl F. Sheehan
Vice President



Raymond A. Riehle
Director

Cc: Shauna Lorance, General Manager: San Juan Water District
Rob Roscoe, General Manager: Sacramento Suburban Water District
Board of Directors, Fair Oaks Water District
Tom Gray, General Manager: Fair Oaks Water District
Board of Directors, Orange Vale Water Company
Sharon Wilcox, General Manager: Orange Vale Water Company
City of Folsom City Council
Evert Palmer, City Manager: City of Folsom
Marcus Yasutake, Environmental and Water Resources Director:
City of Folsom
City of Citrus Heights City Council
Henry Tingle, City Manager: City of Citrus Heights
Roberta MacGlashan, Supervisor District 4: Sacramento County Board
of Supervisors
Susan Peters, Supervisor District 3: Sacramento County Board
of Supervisors
Steve Nugent, General Manager: Carmichael Water District
Richard D. Plecker, Director of Environmental Utilities: City of Roseville

EXHIBIT A
ESTIMATED WHOLESALE CONNECTION FEES DUE SJWD WITH ANNEXATION OF SSWD

RAC: 25-May-2015

	CHWD			SSWD					SJWD 2015 WCF	SSWD WC Fees w/o Adjust	SSWD WC Fees w/Adjust
	Meter Size	Qty	%	Meter Size	Qty	%	Qty Adjust	%			
0.75	1,873	9.56%	0.75	4,409	9.56%	28,744	62.33%	\$ 381	\$ 1,679,655	\$ 10,951,387	
1	16,445	83.94%	1	38,707	83.94%	14,372	31.17%	\$ 633	\$ 24,501,628	\$ 9,097,412	
1.5	565	2.88%	1.5	1,330	2.88%	1,330	2.88%	\$ 1,269	\$ 1,687,592	\$ 1,687,592	
2	615	3.14%	2	1,448	3.14%	1,448	3.14%	\$ 2,029	\$ 2,937,071	\$ 2,937,071	
3	52	0.27%	3	122	0.27%	122	0.27%	\$ 4,055	\$ 496,308	\$ 496,308	
4	19	0.10%	4	45	0.10%	45	0.10%	\$ 6,339	\$ 283,486	\$ 283,486	
6	11	0.06%	6	26	0.06%	26	0.06%	\$ 15,845	\$ 410,244	\$ 410,244	
8	10	0.05%	8	24	0.05%	24	0.05%	\$ 22,818	\$ 537,075	\$ 537,075	
10	1	0.01%	10	2	0.01%	2	0.01%	\$ 36,763	\$ 86,530	\$ 86,530	
12	0	0.00%	12	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$ 54,511	\$ -	\$ -	
TOTAL	19,591	100.00%		46,112	100.00%	46,112	100.00%		\$ 32,619,590	\$ 26,487,106	



San Juan Water District

P.O. Box 2157 - Granite Bay, California 95746
 9935 Auburn Folsom Road - Granite Bay, California 95746
 Phone 916.791.0115 - Fax: 916.791.7361 - www.sjwd.org

SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT WHOLESALE CONNECTION FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM

A wholesale connection fee shall be collected by all agencies that purchase water from San Juan Water District on behalf of the San Juan wholesale agency. Collected fees will be forwarded to SJWD by the agency no less frequently than monthly.

The wholesale connection fee ensures that new customers pay their proportionate share to "buy in" to water system facilities. Wholesale capital facility fees were previously financed through General Obligation bonds, and reimbursements were formerly collected with taxes however those bonds are now paid in full and this new funding mechanism has been established. Wholesale connection fees are evaluated and established by the San Juan Water District Board of Directors. Wholesale connection fees for non-enterprise public entities are to be waived.

Current wholesale connection fees are outlined in the following table.

WHOLESALE CONNECTION FEE SCHEDULE Calendar Year 2015

Meter Size	Capacity Factor	Fee
3/4" Meter	0.60	\$ 381
1" Meter	1.00	\$ 633
1 1/2" Meter	2.00	\$ 1,269
2" Meter	3.20	\$ 2,029
3" Meter	6.40	\$ 4,055
4" Meter	10.00	\$ 6,339
6" Meter	25.00	\$ 15,845
8" Meter	36.00	\$ 22,818
10" Meter	58.00	\$ 36,763
12" Meter	86.00	\$ 54,511

Date of Application: _____ Transmitted to SJWD by: _____

Service Location/Description: _____
 (Address, Lot, Block, Tract #, APN, etc.)

Project Description: _____

Applicant: _____ Phone #: _____

Applicant's Address: _____
 (No. & Street) (City) (Zip Code)

Number of Connections: _____ @ \$ _____ each = \$ _____
 _____ @ \$ _____ each = \$ _____
 _____ @ \$ _____ each = \$ _____
 Total = \$ _____

Make checks payable to San Juan Water District